arXiv:1701.00189v2 [hep-th] 3 Jan 2017

BPS/CFT CORRESPONDENCE III:

GAUGE ORIGAMI PARTITION FUNCTION AND QQ-CHARACTERS

NIKITA NEKRASOV

Abstract. We study generalized gauge theories engineered by taking the low energy limit of the Dp branes wrapping $X \times \mathbf{T}^{p-3}$, with X a possibly singular surface in a Calabi-Yau fourfold Z. For toric Z and X the partition function can be computed by localization, making it a statistical mechanical model, called the gauge origami. The random variables are the ensembles of Young diagrams. The building block of the gauge origami is associated with a tetrahedron, whose edges are colored by vector spaces. We show the properly normalized partition function is an entire function of the Coulomb moduli, for generic values of the Ω-background parameters. The orbifold version of the theory defines the qq-character operators, with and without the surface defects. The analytic properties are the consequence of a relative compactness of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(\vec{n}, k)$ of crossed and spiked instantons, demonstrated in "BPS/CFT correspondence II: instantons at crossroads, moduli and compactness theorem".

Contents

1. **Introduction** 2
1.1. **Acknowledgments** 2
2. **Review of notations** 2
2.1. **Partitions** 2
2.2. **Four and Six** 3
2.3. **Finite groups and quiver varieties** 3
2.4. **The local model data** 8
3. **Partition function of spiked instantons** 9
3.1. **The configuration space** 9
3.2. **The statistical weight** 10
3.3. **The perturbative prefactor** 13
3.4. **The spiked instantons** 14
3.5. **The main result** 15
4. **Orbi folding** 15
4.1. **Abelian orbifolds** 18
4.2. **The abelian × ALE case** 21
4.3. **The ALE × ALE case** 23
4.4. **The main fact** 25
5. **Conclusions and outlook** 25

References 25

1. Introduction

This paper is a continuation of the series [13, 12]. We proposed there a set of observables in quiver $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories which are useful in organizing the non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations. The latter relate different instanton sectors contributions to the expectation values of gauge invariant chiral ring observables. We also introduced the geometric setting to which these observables belong in a natural way. Namely, we defined the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}_{X,G}$ of what might be called supersymmetric gauge fields in the generalized gauge theories, whose space-time X contains several, possibly intersecting, components:

$$X = \bigcup_A X_A \ . \tag{1}$$

The gauge groups $G|_{X_A} = G_A$ on different components may be different. The intersections $X_A \cup X_B$ lead to the matter fields charged under the product group $G_A \times G_B$ (bi-fundamental multiplets). In this paper we shall be studying the integrals over the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{X,G}$, which we shall compute using equivariant localization.

1.1. **Acknowledgments.** I am grateful to H. Nakajima for patient explanations about the quiver varieties. The results of this paper were reported at various seminars [14],[15]. The research was carried out at the IITP RAS with the support of the Russian Foundation for Sciences (project No. 14-50-00150).

2. Review of notations

2.1. **Partitions.** This paper contains lots of partition sums. Let $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ denote the set of all partitions. An element $\lambda \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ is a non-increasing sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} > 0 = 0 = \ldots)$ of integers, with a finite number of positive terms. The number $\ell(\lambda)$ of positive terms is called the *length of the partition* λ, the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \lambda_i = |\lambda| \tag{2}$$

is called its size. We also identify the partitions λ with the finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$, as follows:

$$\lambda = \{ \ \square \ | \ \square = (i,j), \ i,j \geq 1, \ 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i \ \} \tag{3}$$

The size $|\lambda|$ of the partition λ is the cardinality (the number of elements) $|\lambda|$ of the corresponding finite set. Not every finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ corresponds to a partition, only those, for which the complement $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \setminus \lambda$ is preserved by the action of the semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ on itself by translations. Equivalently, the partitions are in one-to-one correspondence with finite codimension monomial ideals in the ring of polynomials in two variables: $\lambda \leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\lambda$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda \subset \mathbb{C}[x,y]$, $\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \cup_{i=1}^{\ell_\lambda} \mathbb{C}[x,y] x^{i-1} y^{\lambda_i}$.

Let $[n]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

2.2. **Four and Six.** Let $\underline{\mathbf{4}}$ denote the set $[4]$ and let $\underline{\mathbf{6}}$ denote the set of 2-element subsets of $\underline{\mathbf{4}}$ (we write ab instead of $\{a,b\}$ to avoid the clutter):

$$\underline{\mathbf{4}} = \{1,2,3,4\}, \qquad \underline{\mathbf{6}} = \binom{\underline{\mathbf{4}}}{2} = \{12, 13, 14, 23, 24, 34\} \tag{4}$$

In (4) we exhibit the lexicographic order of the sets $\underline{\mathbf{4}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{6}}$ which is used below in some formulas. For example, $12 < 14 < 23 < 34$. For $A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}$ we denote by $\bar{A} = \underline{\mathbf{4}} \backslash A$ its complement. Let $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$ denote the quotient $\underline{\mathbf{6}}/\sim$ where $A \sim \bar{A}$. Identify $\underline{\mathbf{3}} = \{1,2,3\} \subset \underline{\mathbf{4}}$ by choosing a representative $A = a4$, $a \in [3]$. Define a map: $\gamma : \underline{\mathbf{6}} \to \underline{\mathbf{4}}$ by

$$\gamma(A) = \inf \bar{A} \ \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}, \tag{5}$$

so that e.g. $\gamma(12) = 3$, $\gamma(24) = 1$, etc. We also define a map $\varepsilon : \underline{\mathbf{6}} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$, defined as follows: write $A = \{a,b\}$, $a < b \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}$, write $\bar{A} = \{c,d\}$, $c < d \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}$, then $\varepsilon(A) = \varepsilon_{abcd}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\varepsilon(12) = \varepsilon(34) = \varepsilon(14) = \varepsilon(23) = +1, \\ &\varepsilon(13) = \varepsilon(24) = -1. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

It may seem surprising that ε takes values $+1$ four times and -1 only two times, but in fact it is natural, since $\varepsilon(A) = \varepsilon(\bar{A})$, therefore ε is defined on $\underline{\mathbf{3}}$. Since a two-valued function on a set of odd cardinality cannot split it equally, more classes are bound to be good rather then evil (assuming the values $+1$ and -1 are identified with "good" and "evil").



It is useful to view $\underline{\mathbf{4}}$ as the set of faces (or vertices) of the tetrahedron, while $\underline{\mathbf{6}}$ is the set of edges. The edge ab connects the vertices a and b. Alternatively the edge ab is the common boundary of the faces a and b.

2.3. **Finite groups and quiver varieties.**

2.3.1. *Abelian groups.* We denote by Γ_{ab} a finite abelian group. It is well-known that any such Γ_{ab} is a product of cyclic groups whose orders are powers of primes:

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}} = \bigtimes_{\kappa=1}^{d} \left(\mathbb{Z}/p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}\mathbb{Z}\right), \qquad l_\kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \quad p_\kappa - \text{primes} \tag{7}$$

An element of Γ_{ab} is a string $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_d)$ of integers defined modulo lattice $t_\kappa \sim t_\kappa + p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}\mathbb{Z}$. All irreducible representations $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\omega}}$ of Γ_{ab} are complex one-dimensional, labeled by a string of integers

$$\vec{\omega} = \left(n^1, \ldots, n^d\right) \in {\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}^\vee, \qquad n^\kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{8}$$

also defined modulo lattice $n^\kappa \sim n^\kappa + p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}\mathbb{Z}$:

$$T_{\mathcal{L}_{\varpi}}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp\ 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sum_\kappa \frac{t_\kappa n^\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}} \tag{9}$$

We set $\varpi = \mathbf{0}$ to label the trivial representation $\omega_i = 0$,

$$T_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{0}}}(\mathbf{t}) \equiv 1 \tag{10}$$

The set $\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee$ is also an abelian group, isomorphic to Γ_{ab}, with the group multiplication given by the tensor product of irreducible representations. We shall be using the addition symbol for the group law on ${\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}^\vee$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varpi_1+\varpi_2} = \mathcal{L}_{\varpi_1}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\varpi_2}, \qquad \mathcal{L}^*_{\varpi} = \mathcal{L}_{-\varpi} \tag{11}$$

Let

$$\delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee} : {\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}^\vee \to \{0,1\} \tag{12}$$

be the indicator function of the trivial representation:

$$\delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}(\mathbf{0}) = 1, \qquad \delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}(\varpi) = 0, \qquad \varpi \neq \mathbf{0} \tag{13}$$

2.3.2. *Nonabelian subgroups of $SU(2)$.* Let γ denote the affine Dynkin diagram of type D, or E, respectively (see the Fig. 1):



Fig.1

Let Vert_γ be the set of vertices of γ, Edge_γ be the set of oriented edges (we pick any orientation). For the edge $e \in \mathsf{Edge}_\gamma$ let $s(e), t(e) \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$ denote its source and target, respectively.

Let $\Gamma_\gamma \subset SU(2)$ denote the corresponding non-abelian finite subgroup. For $\gamma = \tilde{E}_{6,7,8}$ the group Γ_γ is the binary tetrahedral, octahedral, icosahedral group, respectively.

In this correspondence $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$ labels the irreducible representations $R_{\mathbf{i}}$ of Γ_γ. The edges Edge_γ show up in the tensor products: let $\mathbf{2}$ denote the defining two-dimensional representation of $SU(2)$. Then:

$$\mathbf{2}\otimes R_{\mathbf{i}} = \bigoplus_{e\in s^{-1}(\mathbf{i})} R_{t(e)} \ \oplus \bigoplus_{e\in t^{-1}(\mathbf{i})} R_{s(e)} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathbf{2}$ is viewed as the representation of $\Gamma_\gamma \subset SU(2)$. The dimensions $\mathbf{dim} R_{\mathbf{i}}$ are indicated on the corresponding nodes in the picture, the vector of dimensions is annihilated

by the affine Cartan matrix = 2−incidence matrix of γ, cf. (15):

$$2\dim R_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{e\in s^{-1}(\mathbf{i})} \dim R_{t(e)} \; + \sum_{e\in t^{-1}(\mathbf{i})} \dim R_{s(e)} \tag{15}$$

The trivial representation is colored pink on Fig. 1.

2.3.3. *Walks on quivers.* Let $\mathbf{i}_s, \mathbf{i}_t \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$. A path p connecting $\mathbf{i}_s$ (the source of p) to $\mathbf{i}_t$ (the target of p) of length ℓ_p on the quiver γ is the ordered sequence of pairs $p_i = (e_i, \sigma_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, \ell_p$ where $e_i \in \mathsf{Edge}_\gamma$, $\sigma_i = \pm 1$, and

(1) the source of p: if $\sigma_1 = 1$, then $s(e_1) = \mathbf{i}_s$, otherwise $t(e_1) = \mathbf{i}_s$
(2) the end-point of p: if $\sigma_{\ell_p} = 1$, then $t(e_{\ell_p}) = \mathbf{i}_t$, otherwise $s(e_{\ell_p}) = \mathbf{i}_t$
(3) concatenation: if $\sigma_i = 1$, $\sigma_{i+1} = 1$, then $t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$, if $\sigma_i = 1$, $\sigma_{i+1} = -1$, then $t(e_i) = t(e_{i+1})$, if $\sigma_i = -1$, $\sigma_{i+1} = 1$, then $s(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$, if $\sigma_i = -1$, $\sigma_{i+1} = -1$, then $s(e_i) = t(e_{i+1})$

Let us denote the set of all paths on γ connecting $\mathbf{i}_s$ to $\mathbf{i}_t$ by $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}_t}_{\mathbf{i}_s}[\gamma]$. There is an obvious associative concatenation map:

$$\star : \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}_2}_{\mathbf{i}_1}[\gamma] \times \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}_3}_{\mathbf{i}_2}[\gamma] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}_3}_{\mathbf{i}_1}[\gamma] \,, \tag{16}$$

$$p \times \tilde{p} \mapsto \tilde{p} \star p \,, \qquad (\tilde{p} \star p)_i = \begin{cases} p_i \,, & 1 \le i \le \ell_{p'} \\ \tilde{p}_{i-\ell_p} \,, & \ell_p < i \le \ell_p + \ell_{\tilde{p}} = \ell_{\tilde{p}\star p} \end{cases}$$

and the inversion map

$$- : \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}_t}_{\mathbf{i}_s}[\gamma] \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}_s}_{\mathbf{i}_t}[\gamma] \,, \tag{17}$$

$$p \mapsto \bar{p}, \qquad \bar{p}_i = \left(e_{\ell_p+1-i}, -\sigma_{\ell_p+1-i}\right) \,,\; 1 \le i \le \ell_p$$

2.3.4. *Nakajima varieties.* In this section we define the *fundamental* Nakajima varieties, which are the particular case of the general Nakajima varieties $\mathfrak{M}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \underline{\mathbf{w}})$ associated with a quiver γ and two dimension vectors $\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \underline{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma}$ [9, 8, 10].

Let γ be as before. Fix a specific vertex $\mathbf{i}_0 \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$. Let $\underline{\mathbf{v}} = (v_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma}$, $v_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ be a vector of dimensions of Hermitian vector spaces $V_{\mathbf{i}} \approx \mathbb{C}^{v_{\mathbf{i}}}$. Let

$$U_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}) = \bigtimes_{\omega\in\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} U(v_\omega) \tag{18}$$

be the group of unitary transformations of $\mathbf{V} = (V_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma}$. First, form the Hermitian vector space:

$$H^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}) = T^*\left(\bigoplus_{e\in\mathrm{Edge}_\gamma} \mathrm{Hom}(V_{s(e)}, V_{t(e)}) \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}, V_{\mathbf{i}_0}) \right) = \tag{19}$$

$$\left\{ \left(B_e, \tilde{B}_e\right)_{e\in\mathrm{Edge}_\gamma}, I, J \,|\, I : \mathbb{C} \to V_{\mathbf{i}_0} \,,\; J : V_{\mathbf{i}_0} \to \mathbb{C}, B_e : V_{s(e)} \to V_{t(e)}, \tilde{B}_e : V_{t(e)} \to V_{s(e)} \right\}$$

which is acted upon by $U_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})$ via:

$$(u_\omega)_{\omega\in \mathrm{Vert}_\gamma}\cdot\left(\left(B_e,\tilde{B}_e\right)_{e\in \mathrm{Edge}_\gamma}\,;I,J\right)=\left(\left(u_{t(e)}B_e u_{s(e)}^{-1},u_{s(e)}\tilde{B}_e u_{t(e)}^{-1}\right)_{e\in \mathrm{Edge}_\gamma}\,;u_{\mathbf{i}_0}Iu_{\mathbf{i}_0}^{-1},JIu_{\mathbf{i}_0}^{-1}\right)\tag{20}$$

For a path $p\in\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}_t}^{\mathbf{i}_s}[\gamma]$ define its holonomy $\mathcal{B}_p: V_{\mathbf{i}_s}\to V_{\mathbf{i}_t}$ in the obvious way:

$$\mathcal{B}_p=\prod_{i=1}^{\leftarrow \ell_p}\begin{cases}B_{e_i}\,, & \sigma_i=+1\\ \tilde{B}_{e_i}\,, & \sigma_i=-1\end{cases}\tag{21}$$

This definition is compatible with the path multiplication:

$$\mathcal{B}_{p_2}\mathcal{B}_{p_1}=\mathcal{B}_{p_2\star p_1}\tag{22}$$

The action (20) preserves the hyper-Kähler structure of $H_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\underline{\mathbf{v}})$, with the three symplectic forms $\varpi_{I,J,K}$ given by:

$$\varpi_I=\sum_{e\in \mathrm{Edge}_\gamma}\mathrm{Tr}_{V_{t(e)}}\left(dB_e\wedge dB_e^\dagger+d\tilde{B}_e^\dagger\wedge d\tilde{B}_e\right)+dJ\wedge dJ^\dagger-dI^\dagger\wedge dI\,,\tag{23}$$

$$\varpi_J+\sqrt{-1}\varpi_K=dJ\wedge dI+\sum_{e\in \mathrm{Edge}_\gamma}\mathrm{Tr}_{V_{t(e)}}\left(dB_e\wedge d\tilde{B}_e\right)$$

Then perform the hyper-Kähler reduction with respect to the action (20):

$$\mathbf{M}_\gamma^{\mathbf{i}_0}(\underline{\mathbf{v}})=\vec{\mu}^{-1}(\vec{\zeta})/U_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})\tag{24}$$

where $\vec{\mu}=(\mu_{I,\mathbf{i}},\mu_{J,\mathbf{i}},\mu_{K,\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathrm{Vert}_\gamma}$,

$$\mu_{I,\mathbf{i}}=\sum_{e\in t^{-1}(\mathbf{i})}B_eB_e^\dagger-\tilde{B}_e^\dagger\tilde{B}_e+\sum_{e\in s^{-1}(\mathbf{i})}\tilde{B}_e\tilde{B}_e^\dagger-B_e^\dagger B_e+\delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}_0}\left(II^\dagger-J^\dagger J\right)\,,\tag{25}$$

$$\mu_{J,\mathbf{i}}+\sqrt{-1}\mu_{K,\mathbf{i}}=\sum_{e\in t^{-1}(\mathbf{i})}B_e\tilde{B}_e-\sum_{e\in s^{-1}(\mathbf{i})}\tilde{B}_eB_e+\delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}_0}IJ\,.$$

and we take (this is not the most general definition)

$$\vec{\zeta}=(\zeta_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{1}_{V_{\mathbf{i}}},0,0)_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathrm{Vert}_\gamma}\tag{26}$$

with all $\zeta_{\mathbf{i}}>0$.

Instead of solving three equations $\vec{\mu}=\vec{\zeta}$ one can actually solve only $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}\equiv\mu_J+\sqrt{-1}\mu_K=0$, and then take a quotient of the set of stable points in $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)$ by the action of

$$G_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})=\bigtimes_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathrm{Vert}_\gamma}GL(v_{\mathbf{i}};\mathbb{C})\tag{27}$$

so that

$$\mathfrak{M}_\gamma^{\omega_0}(\underline{\mathbf{v}})=\mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)^{\mathrm{stable}}/G_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})\tag{28}$$

The stable points are the $G_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})$-orbits of $(B_e, \tilde{B}_e, I, J)$ s.t. the path algebra of γ represented by the products of B_e and $\tilde{B}_e$ acting on the image $\mathsf{im}(I) \subset V_{\omega_0}$ generates all of $(V_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma}$:

$$V_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{p\in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{i}_0}[\gamma]} \mathcal{B}_p\, I(\mathbb{C})\ . \tag{29}$$

In other words: any collection $\mathbf{V}' = (V'_{\mathbf{i}})_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} \subset \mathbf{V}$ of vector subspaces $V'_{\mathbf{i}} \subset V_{\mathbf{i}}$, obeying: i) $I(\mathbb{C}) \subset V'_{\mathbf{i}_0}$, ii) $B_e(V'_{s(e)}) \subset V'_{t(e)}$, $\tilde{B}_e(V'_{t(e)}) \subset V'_{s(e)}$ for any $e \in \mathsf{Edge}_\gamma$, must coincide with $\mathbf{V}$: $V'_{\mathbf{i}} = V_{\mathbf{i}}$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$.

Remark. The general Nakajima variety $\mathfrak{M}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \underline{\mathbf{w}})$ depends on two dimension vectors. It has a symmetry group $U_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{w}}) = \times_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} U(w_{\mathbf{i}})$. The fixed point locus of the action of the maximal torus $T_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{w}})$ of $U_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{w}})$ is the union

$$\mathfrak{M}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \underline{\mathbf{w}})^{T_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{w}})} = \bigsqcup_{\underline{\mathbf{v}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma, \alpha\in[w_{\mathbf{i}}]} \underline{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{i},\alpha}} \ \bigtimes_{\mathbf{i}\in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} \bigtimes_{\alpha\in[w_{\mathbf{i}}]} \mathfrak{M}^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{i},\alpha}) \tag{30}$$

which explains the importance of the fundamental Nakajima varieties. Note, that $\underline{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{i},\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma}$ for each $(\mathbf{i}, \alpha)$, i.e.

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{i},\alpha} = \left(v^{\mathbf{i},\alpha}_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}\right)_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} \tag{31}$$

Also, $\mathfrak{M}^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathfrak{M}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \underline{\delta}^{\mathbf{i}_0})$.

2.3.5. *Nakajima-Young varieties*. The fundamental Nakajima variety $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})$ with the choice (26) has a $\mathbb{C}^\times$-symmetry (its compact subgroup $U(1)$ acts by an isometry): $s \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ acts via

$$s \cdot \left(\left(B_e,\, \tilde{B}_e\right)_{e\in \mathrm{Edge}_\gamma} ;\, I,\, J \right) \ = \ \left(\left(sB_e,\, s\tilde{B}_e\right)_{e\in \mathrm{Edge}_\gamma} ;\, sI,\, sJ \right) \tag{32}$$

Define Nakajima-Young variety $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}; \mu)$ to be the connected component of the fixed point set:

$$\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \bigsqcup_{\mu\in \Lambda^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})} \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}; \mu) \tag{33}$$

with $\Lambda^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}})$ denoting the set of connected components. Each Nakajima-Young variety carries a set of vector bundles:

$$V^{\mathbf{i}_0}_{\mathbf{i},n}(\gamma; \underline{\mathbf{v}}, \mu) \to \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}_0}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}; \mu) \tag{34}$$

where $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$, $n \geq 0$, and

$$V^{\mathbf{i}_0}_{\mathbf{i},n}(\gamma; \underline{\mathbf{v}}, \mu) \ = \sum_{p\in \mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{i}_0}, \ell_p = n} \mathcal{B}_p\ I(\mathbb{C})\ . \tag{35}$$

The stability condition (29) implies:

$$V_{\mathbf{i}} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_{\mathbf{i},n}^{\mathbf{i}_0} \tag{36}$$

It is easy to show that $J \equiv 0$ on all $\mathbf{Y}_\gamma^{\mathbf{i}_0}(\underline{\mathbf{v}};\mu)$, and $V_{\mathbf{i},0}^{\mathbf{i}_0} = \mathbb{C}\delta_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{i}_0}$.

The ranks $\nu_{\mathbf{i},n}^{\mathbf{i}_0}(\gamma;\underline{\mathbf{v}},\mu) = \mathrm{rk}\, V_{\mathbf{i},n}^{\mathbf{i}_0}(\gamma;\underline{\mathbf{v}},\mu)$ are important local invariants of $\mathbf{Y}_\gamma^{\mathbf{i}_0}(\underline{\mathbf{v}};\mu)$. By definition:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu_{\mathbf{i},n}^{\mathbf{i}_0}(\gamma;\underline{\mathbf{v}},\mu) = v_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{37}$$

2.4. **The local model data.** To specify the basic local model data we fix:

(1) The string

$$\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_a)_{a\in\underline{\mathbf{4}}} \tag{38}$$

of $\mathbf{4}$ complex numbers which sum to zero:

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 = 0 \tag{39}$$

(2) The string $\bar{n}$ of $\mathbf{6}$ non-negative integers $n_A \geq 0$, $A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}$

(3) The string $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ of

$$\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} n_A$$

complex numbers $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha = 1,\ldots,n_A$, also denoted as

$$\mathfrak{a}_A = (\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha})_{\alpha\in[n_A]} \equiv \left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,1},\ldots,\mathfrak{a}_{A,n_A}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n_A} \ . \tag{40}$$

(4) The fugacity $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\mathfrak{q}| < 1$.

We also use the notations: for any $a \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}$,

$$q_a(\beta) = e^{\beta\varepsilon_a}, \quad P_a(\beta) = 1 - q_a(\beta), \quad q_a^*(\beta) = e^{-\beta\varepsilon_a}, \qquad P_a^*(\beta) = 1 - q_a^*(\beta), \tag{41}$$

and for any $S \subset \underline{\mathbf{4}}$

$$q_S(\beta) = \prod_{a\in S} q_a(\beta), \quad q_S^*(\beta) = \prod_{a\in S} q_a^*(\beta), \quad P_S(\beta) = \prod_{a\in S} P_a(\beta), \quad P_S^*(\beta) = \prod_{a\in S} P_a^*(\beta) \tag{42}$$

We shall often skip the argument β in the notations for q_a, P_S^*, etc. The notation (42), in particular, implies (cf. (39))

$$q_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}} = q_\emptyset = 1, \quad P_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}} = P_1P_2P_3P_4 = P_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}}^* \ , \quad q_{\bar{A}} = q_A^* \tag{43}$$

2.4.1. *Additive to multiplicative.* Let $I_\pm$ be two finite sets, $I = I_+ \sqcup I_-$. Let M be a space with an action of a Lie group G, and let $\mathcal{E}_i$, $i \in I$ be a collection of G-equivariant vector bundles over M. Let $w_i \in \mathbb{C}$. To the $\mathsf{G} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^I$-equivariant Chern character of the virtual bundle $\oplus_{i\in I_+}\mathcal{E}_i \ominus \oplus_{i\in I_-}\mathcal{E}_i$:

$$f(\beta) = \sum_{i\in I_+} e^{\beta w_i}\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}_i) - \sum_{i\in I_-} e^{\beta w_i}\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}_i) \tag{44}$$

we associate the equivariant characteristic class, a rational function of w_i's:

$$\epsilon[f] = \prod_{i\in I_-} \mathbf{c}_{w_i}(\mathcal{E}_i)^{-1} \prod_{i\in I_i} \mathbf{c}_{w_i}(\mathcal{E}_{+,i}) \ . \tag{45}$$

where

$$\mathbf{c}_w(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{E}} w^k\, c_{\mathrm{rk}\mathcal{E}-k}(\mathcal{E}) \tag{46}$$

is the usual G-equivariant Chern polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$, evaluated at $w \in H^\bullet_{\mathbb{C}^\times}(pt) = \mathbb{C}$, equivalently, it is the top $\mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathsf{G}$-equivariant Chern class of $\mathcal{E}$. Define, for (44),

$$f^*(\beta) = \sum_{i\in I_+} e^{-\beta w_i}\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}_i^*) - \sum_{i\in I_-} e^{-\beta w_i}\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}_i^*) \tag{47}$$

Then

$$\epsilon[f] = (-1)^{\mathrm{rk}f(0)}\epsilon[f^*] \tag{48}$$

where

$$\mathrm{rk}f(\beta) = \sum_{i\in I_+} e^{\beta w_i}\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_i) - \sum_{i\in I_-} e^{\beta w_i}\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_i) \tag{49}$$

This definition is consistent with the notations (41). We have, e.g. $P_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}} = P_{\underline{\mathbf{3}}} + P^*_{\underline{\mathbf{3}}}$. Therefore,

$$\epsilon[P_S f] = \epsilon[P_S q_{\bar S} f^*]^{(-1)^{|S|}}, \tag{50}$$

The definition (45) is the generalization of the notation used in [12], where we defined ϵ as a map from the space of $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of exponents to rational functions:

$$\epsilon\left[\sum_{i\in I_+} e^{\beta w_i} - \sum_{i\in I_-} e^{\beta w_i}\right] = \prod_{i\in I_-} w_i^{-1} \prod_{i\in I_+} w_i \ . \tag{51}$$

3. **Partition function of spiked instantons**

3.1. **The configuration space.** The basic local model is a statistical ensemble. The random variables are the strings $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of

$$\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} n_A$$

partitions $\lambda^{(A,\alpha)} \in \Lambda$, with the indices $A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}$, $\alpha \in [n_A]$.

Define, cf. (3):

$$N_A(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_A} e^{\beta \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}}, \qquad K_A(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_A} \sum_{\square \in \lambda^{(A,\alpha)}} e^{\beta c_{A,\alpha}(\square)}, \tag{52}$$

with

$$c_{A,\alpha}(\square) = \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} + \varepsilon_a(i-1) + \varepsilon_b(j-1), \qquad \text{for } \square = (i,j) \tag{53}$$

and (cf. (47))

$$T_A = N_A K_A^* + N_A^* K_A q_A - P_A K_A K_A^* \tag{54}$$

Let

$$k_A = K_A(0) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{[n_A]} |\lambda^{(A,\alpha)}| \tag{55}$$

It is well-known [16, 11, 1] that $T_A = q_A T_A^*$ is a pure character, i.e.

$$T_A = \sum_{I=1}^{2n_A k_A} e^{t_{A,I}} \tag{56}$$

where $t_{A,I}$ are integral linear combinations of $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in [n_A]$, $\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b$, $a, b \in A$. Let us assume $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_A, \bar{\varepsilon}$ are sufficiently generic, so that $2t_{A,I} \neq \varepsilon_A$. Then we can split the set $[2n_A k_A]$ of weights $t_{A,I}$ into two groups of cardinality $[n_A k_A]$ so that

$$T_A = T_A^+ + q_A \left(T_A^+\right)^* \tag{57}$$

with T_A^+ given by the sum (56) with I in the first group. For example, one can take

$$T_A^+ = \sum_{I \in [2n_A k_A], \mathrm{Re}\left(e^{-i\vartheta}\left(t_{A,I} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_A\right)\right) > 0} e^{t_{A,I}} \tag{58}$$

for some stability phase $e^{-i\vartheta}$.

3.2. **The statistical weight.** The complex Boltzmann weight of $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is given by the following expression:

$$z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} = \mathfrak{q}^k \ \epsilon\left[-T_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}\right], \tag{59}$$

where (cf. (55))

$$k = \sum_A k_A \tag{60}$$

and

$$T_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\beta) = \sum_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}} P_{\bar{A}} \left(T_A^+ + N_A \sum_{B \neq A} K_B^* \right) - P_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}} \sum_{A<B} K_A K_B^* \tag{61}$$

Define, for $k \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{inst}} = \sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}:\ \sum\limits_{A,\alpha} |\lambda^{(A,\alpha)}| = k} z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}\ , \tag{62}$$

3.2.1. *Tori and characters.* The meaning of the parameters $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}$, $\bar{\varepsilon}$, and the expressions N_A, K_A, etc. is the following. Define the gauge group G_A corresponding to the stratum $X_A \approx \mathbb{C}^2_A$ of the singular toric surface X to be

$$G_A = U(n_A) \tag{63}$$

Let $T_A \subset G_A$ denote its maximal torus. Let $U(1)^3_\varepsilon \subset SU(4)$ be the maximal torus of the $(4,0)$-volume preserving unitary symmetries of $Z = \mathbb{C}^4$. The $U(1)^3_\varepsilon$-action preserves X. The Lie algebra $\mathbf{Lie} T_A \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is parametrized by diagonal matrices $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_A = \mathbf{diag}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_{A,n_A}\right)$ with complex entries $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$. The Lie algebra $\mathbf{Lie} U(1)^3_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is parametrized by $\mathbf{diag}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ with $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 = 0$. Let

$$T_{\mathsf{H}} = U(1)^3_\varepsilon \times \bigtimes_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}} T_A \tag{64}$$

The expressions N_A, K_A, $T_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\beta)$ etc. are the elements of the K-group $K[T_{\mathsf{H}}]$, i.e. the abelian group whose elements are the formal linear combinations

$$\sum_{w \in T_{\mathsf{H}}^\vee} n_w L_w \tag{65}$$

where $n_w \in \mathbb{Z}$, L_w are the irreducible representations of the torus T_{H}, i.e. the elements of the lattice $\Lambda_{\mathsf{H}} = \mathbf{Hom}\,(T_{\mathsf{H}}, U(1))$. We assign to the weight $w = (w_{A,\alpha}) \oplus (w_a)$ a function of $(\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\varepsilon})$, the character of $T_{\mathsf{H}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ in the representation L_w:

$$L_w \mapsto e^{\beta\left(\sum\limits_{A,\alpha} w_{A,\alpha}\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} + \sum\limits_a w_a \varepsilon_a\right)} \tag{66}$$

Here $w_{A,\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $w_a \in \mathbb{Z}$ are defined up to a shift $w_a \mapsto w_a + m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.2.2. *More general definition.* The definition (62) is fine as long as $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ are generic.

However, e.g. if for some $ab \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}$ the ratio $\varepsilon_a/\varepsilon_b \in \mathbf{Q}_+$ is a positive rational number, or if for some $\alpha \neq \beta \in [n_A]$, $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} = \mathfrak{a}_{A,\beta}$, the individual contributions $z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$ to the formula (62) have apparent poles. The poles actually cancel. Let us give the presentation of the formula (62) which is applicable even in these cases.

$$\mathcal{Z}_k^{\text{inst}} = \mathfrak{q}^k \sum_{(k_A)_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}},\ \sum_A k_A = k} \int_{\bigtimes_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}} \mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)} \mathcal{S}_{\vec{n},\vec{k}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\epsilon}) \tag{67}$$

where Gieseker-Nakajima moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_k(n)$ parametrize the charge k noncommutative $U(n)$ instantons on $\mathbb{R}^4$ and framed rank n torsion free sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with

$\mathsf{ch}_2(\mathcal{E}) = k$, while $\mathcal{S}_{\vec{n},\vec{k}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\epsilon})$ is the equivariant characteristic class, given by (cf. (61)):

$$\mathcal{S}_{\vec{n},\vec{k}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\epsilon}) = \prod_A \mathbf{c}_{m_A}\Big(T\mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)\Big) \times \tag{68}$$

$$\times \prod_{A\neq B\in \underline{\mathbf{6}}} \prod_{\alpha\in[n_A]} \frac{\prod_{\bar{a}\in\bar{A}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}+\varepsilon_{\bar{a}}}(K_B)}{\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}}(K_B)\,\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}-\varepsilon_A}(K_B)} \times$$

$$\prod_{A<B\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} \frac{\big(\mathbf{c}_0(\mathrm{Hom}(K_B,K_A))\big)^2 \prod_{C\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} \mathbf{c}_{\varepsilon_C}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_B,K_A)}{\prod_{c\in\underline{\mathbf{4}}} \mathbf{c}_{\varepsilon_c}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_B,K_A))\,\mathbf{c}_{-\varepsilon_c}(\mathrm{Hom}(K_B,K_A))}$$

with K_A being the tautological rank k_A bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)$. Finally, $m_A = \varepsilon_{\bar{a}}$ for either $\bar{a}\in\bar{A}$. The choice of $\bar{a}$ is immaterial. Indeed, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)$ is a complex symplectic manifold, as reflected by the symmetry $T_A = q_A T_A^*$. It implies

$$\mathbf{c}_{\varepsilon_{\bar{a}}}\Big(T\mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)\Big) = \mathbf{c}_{\varepsilon_{\bar{b}}}\Big(T\mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)\Big) \tag{69}$$

for both $\bar{a}, \bar{b}\in\bar{A}$.

3.2.3. *One-instanton case*. As an illustration, let us consider the case $k = 1$. There are

$$\sum_A n_A$$

possibilities, with

$$K_B = \delta_{A,B}\, e^{\beta\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}}, \qquad A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}},\ \alpha\in[n_A] \tag{70}$$

Thus, the 1-instanton partition function is given by:

$$\mathcal{Z}_1^{\mathrm{inst}} = \mathfrak{q}\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}}\sum_{\alpha\in[n_A]} Z_{A,\alpha}\,, \tag{71}$$

with

(72)

$$Z_{A,\alpha} = \frac{E_{\bar{A}} - E_A}{E_A} \prod_{\alpha'\in[n_A],\ \alpha'\neq\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{E_{\bar{A}}}{(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha'} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha})(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha'} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - \varepsilon_A)}\right) \times$$

$$\times \prod_{B\neq A} \prod_{\gamma\in[n_B]} \left(1 + \frac{E_{\bar{B}}}{\big(\mathfrak{a}_{B,\gamma} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}\big)\big(\mathfrak{a}_{B,\gamma} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - \varepsilon_B\big)}\right)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_S = \sum_{s\in S}\varepsilon_s = -\varepsilon_{\bar{S}}, \qquad E_S = \prod_{s\in S}\varepsilon_s \tag{73}$$

3.3. **The perturbative prefactor.** We introduce a common, i.e. $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$-independent prefactor in the statistical weight. The so completed statistical weight is equal to:

$$w_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} = Z^{\text{pert}}(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\varepsilon})\, z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} \tag{74}$$

with

$$Z^{\text{pert}}(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}} Z^{\text{pert},A}_{N=2^*}(\mathfrak{a}_A, \bar{\varepsilon}) \quad \times \prod_{\{a,b,c\} \subset \underline{\mathbf{4}}} Z^{\text{pert},a|bc}_{\text{fold}}(\mathfrak{a}_{ab}, \mathfrak{a}_{ac}, \bar{\varepsilon}) \quad \times \prod_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}, A < \bar{A}} Z^{\text{pert},A}_{\text{cross}}(\mathfrak{a}_A, \mathfrak{a}_{\bar{A}}, \bar{\varepsilon}) \tag{75}$$

where

- for $A = ab$:

$$Z^{\text{pert},A}_{N=2^*}(\mathfrak{a}_A, \bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n_A} \Gamma_2\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\beta}; \varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b\right) \times \prod_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n_A} \Gamma_2^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\beta} + m_A; \varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b\right) \tag{76}$$

with the Barnes double gamma functions $\Gamma_2(x; \varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b)$ normalized in such a way so as to have simple zeroes on a quadrant of the integral lattice spanned by $\varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b$:

$$\Gamma_2(x; \varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b) \sim \prod_{i,j \geq 1} (x + \varepsilon_a(i-1) + \varepsilon_b(j-1)) \qquad , \tag{77}$$

it is defined by the analytic continuation of the integral formula

$$\Gamma_2(x; \varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b) = \exp - \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} t^s \frac{e^{-tx}}{(1-e^{-t\varepsilon_a})(1-e^{-t\varepsilon_b})} \tag{78}$$

from the domain $\text{Re}(x), \text{Re}(\varepsilon_a), \text{Re}(\varepsilon_b) > 0$.

Remark. In [1] another normalization for the perturbative prefactor is used: the second line in (76) would read, in our notation, as

$$\prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n_A} \prod_{\bar{a} \in \bar{A}} \Gamma_2^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - \mathfrak{a}_{A,\beta} + \varepsilon_{\bar{a}}; \varepsilon_a, \varepsilon_b\right)$$

This normalization makes explicit the symmetry between $c \in \bar{A}$, however the gauge invariance, i.e. the Weyl symmetry of $U(n_A)$ acting on $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}$ is partly broken.

-

$$Z^{\text{pert},a|bc}_{\text{fold}}(\mathfrak{a}_{ab}, \mathfrak{a}_{ac}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n_{ab}} \prod_{\beta=1}^{n_{ac}} \Gamma_1\left(\mathfrak{a}_{ab,\alpha} - \mathfrak{a}_{ac,\beta} + \varepsilon_a + \varepsilon_c; \varepsilon_a\right) \tag{79}$$

where $\Gamma_1(x;\varepsilon_a)$ is essentially the ordinary Γ-function:

$$\Gamma_1(x;y) \sim \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (x + y(i-1)) \,, \tag{80}$$

Again, it can be defined by the analytic continuation of the integral

$$\Gamma_1(x;y) = \exp - \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} t^s \frac{e^{-tx}}{(1-e^{-ty})} \tag{81}$$

from the domain $\mathrm{Re}(x), \mathrm{Re}(y) > 0$, giving

$$\Gamma_1(x;y) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi/y}}{y^{\frac{x}{y}} \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)} \,, \tag{82}$$

•

$$Z^{\mathrm{pert},A}_{\mathrm{cross}}(\mathfrak{a}_A, \mathfrak{a}_{\bar{A}}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n_A} \prod_{\beta=1}^{n_{\bar{A}}} \left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - \mathfrak{a}_{\bar{A},\beta} + \varepsilon_{\bar{A}}\right) \tag{83}$$

3.4. **The spiked instantons.** The partition function $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{inst}}$ is the T_{H}-equivariant integral of 1 over the virtual fundamental cycle of the moduli space of spiked instantons [12]. The strings of partitions $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ are the T_{H}-fixed points. The matrices (B_a, I_A, J_A) of the construction [12] obey, for such a fixed point:

$$[B_a, B_b] = 0, \qquad a, b \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}, \qquad J_A = 0, \qquad A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}} \tag{84}$$

the vectors

$$|i, j; \alpha\rangle = B_a^{i-1} B_b^{j-1} I_{ab}(N_{ab,\alpha}) \tag{85}$$

with $\alpha \in [n_{ab}]$, $1 \leq j \leq \lambda^{(ab,\alpha)}$ forming the basis of the vector space K, $N_{ab,\alpha}$ being the eigenspace of T_{ab} action on the framing space N_{ab} (see [12] for the notations and explanations). The meaning of the measure (126) is essentially simple: each term in (61) comes either from the weight of the H-action on the infinitesimal variation of the variables (B_a, I_A, J_A) (these contribute the exponents with the $+1$ sign), or from that on the equations or symmetries (61) (these contribute the exponents with the -1 sign). The only subtlety is the choice of the overall sign of the contribution of $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, which comes from the analysis of the induced orientation of the obstruction space, i.e. the cokernel of the linearization of the equations. This choice of sign will be explained in detail elsewhere.

When the equivariant parameters $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\varepsilon}$ are rationally dependent, the torus $\tilde{T}_{\mathsf{H}} \subset T_{\mathsf{H}}$ they generate is strictly smaller than T_{H}. Accordingly, the fixed points on the moduli space of spiked instantons need not be isolated, and the formula (67) is used. It can be further localized to the set of torus-fixed points on $\mathcal{M}_{k_A}(n_A)$, which are relatively well-understood in the case $n_A = 1$ [5, 4, 7]. We shall encounter these complications when dealing with gauge theories on $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ spaces, or on the complex surfaces in the $\mathbb{C}^4/\Gamma' \times \Gamma''$ spaces, with finite $SU(2)$ subgroups Γ, Γ', $\Gamma'' \subset SU(2)$ of D or E type.

3.5. **The main result.** Here is the main fact about the partition function of spiked instantons: the compactness theorem proven in [12] implies $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{spiked}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{spiked}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}}, \bar{\varepsilon}) = \sum_{\underline{\lambda}} w_{\underline{\lambda}} \tag{86}$$

has no singularities in the variables:

$$x_A = \frac{1}{n_A} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_A} \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} \tag{87}$$

with fixed

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{A,\alpha} = \mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha} - x_A \tag{88}$$

Remark. The reason we have to keep the majority of our variables fixed is the denominator Γ_2^{-1} in the perturbative prefactors $Z^{\text{pert,A}}_{N=2*}$. Without it the partition function would be an entire function of all $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\alpha}$'s.

4. **Orbi folding**

In this section we discuss the partition function of the generalized gauge theories defined on the orbifolds with respect to a discrete (finite) group $\mathbf{\Gamma}$. Both the worldvolume and the transverse space of the theory may be subject to the orbifold projection. Geometrically, the action of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ factors through the linear action in $\mathbb{C}^4$, which we assume to preserve the Calabi-Yau fourfold structure:

$$\rho_{\text{geom}} : \mathbf{\Gamma} \longrightarrow SU(4) \tag{89}$$

This construction is motivated by the consideration of D-branes on $\mathbb{C}^4/\mathbf{\Gamma}$. As is explained in [3], the orbifold projection involves an action of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ on the Chan-Paton spaces:

$$\rho_{CP} : \mathbf{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \bigtimes_{A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}} U(n_A) \tag{90}$$

which amounts to the decomposition:

$$N_A = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} N_{A,\omega} \otimes \mathcal{R}_\omega \tag{91}$$

The global symmetry group H is reduced to the $\mathbf{\Gamma}$-centralizer: the subgroup $\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} \subset \mathsf{H}$ which commutes with $\mathbf{\Gamma}$. The particular cases of this construction are the quiver gauge theories, the theories in the presence of special surface operators, possibly intersecting, and the theories on the ALE spaces.

The parameters of the partition function of the orbifolded theory are $(\widetilde{\underline{\mathfrak{a}}}, \widetilde{\varepsilon}) \in \mathrm{Lie} T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where $\widetilde{\underline{\mathfrak{a}}}$ is in the Cartan subalgebra of the centralizer of the image $\rho_{CP}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ in (90), while $\widetilde{\varepsilon}$ is in the Cartan subalgebra of the centralizer of the image of $\rho_{\text{geom}}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$ in $SU(4)$.

Since we want the action of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ to admit the invariant complex two-planes supporting the strata (X_A, G_A) of the generalized gauge theories, at least for one $A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}$, the choice of Γ is reduced to the following three possibilities:

(1) The **abelian** case:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}, \tag{92}$$

represented in $U(1)^3_\varepsilon \subset SU(4)$ with the help of the homomorphism $\rho_{\mathsf{geom}} = \mathsf{diag}(\rho_a)_{a\in\underline{4}}$:

$$\rho_a\left[\left(\begin{array}{c} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}} \end{array}\right)_\kappa\right] = \exp\left(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sum_\kappa \frac{m_\kappa n_a^\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}\right) \tag{93}$$

where $n_a^\kappa = 0,\ldots,p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}-1$, and

$$\sum_{a\in\underline{4}} n_a^\kappa = p_\kappa^{l_\kappa} n^\kappa \qquad n^\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{94}$$

The Chan-Paton representation (90) amounts to the choice of multiplicities $n_{A,\omega}$:

$$\rho_{CP} : \left(\begin{array}{c} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}} \end{array}\right)_\kappa \mapsto \mathsf{diag}\left(\begin{array}{c} e^{\sum_\kappa \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa\omega_\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}}\cdot \mathbf{1}_{n_{A,\omega}} \end{array}\right)_{\omega\in{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee} \in\ U(n_A) \tag{95}$$

where $\omega = (\omega_\kappa)_\kappa \in {\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee$ labels the irreducible (one-dimensional) representations of Γ_{ab}. The centralizer $\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}$ is equal to

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = U(1)^3_\varepsilon \times \bigtimes_{\omega\in{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee} \bigtimes_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} U(n_{A,\omega}), \tag{96}$$

its maximal torus

$$T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}} = U(1)^3_\varepsilon \times \bigtimes_{\omega\in{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee} \bigtimes_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} T_{n_{A,\omega}}, \tag{97}$$

with $T_{n_{A,\omega}} \subset U(n_{A,\omega})$ the maximal torus of diagonal matrices.

(2) The **abelian** $\times$ **ALE** case:

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}} \times \Gamma_\gamma \tag{98}$$

represented in $SU(4)$ with the help of the homomorphism

$$\rho_{\mathsf{geom}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_1 & & \\ & \rho_2 & \\ & & \rho_{34}\in U(2)_{34} \end{array}\right), \tag{99}$$

with

$$\rho_\alpha\left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}} & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}_\kappa \times h\right]\right] = e^{\sum_\kappa \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa\rho_\alpha^\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}} \quad \in U(1),$$

$$\alpha = 1,2 \tag{100}$$

$$\rho_{34}\left[\left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}} & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix}_\kappa \times h\right]\right] = e^{-\sum_\kappa \frac{\pi\sqrt{-1}m_\kappa\left(\rho_1^\kappa+\rho_2^\kappa\right)}{p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}}} \cdot T_2(h) \in U(2)$$

where $\rho_\alpha^\kappa = 0,\dots,p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}-1$, $\alpha = 1,2$.

The irreducible representations of the group $\mathbf{\Gamma} = \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}} \times \Gamma_\gamma$ are the tensor products:

$$\mathcal{R}_\omega = R_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\varpi}, \tag{101}$$

labelled by the pairs $\omega = (\varpi, \mathbf{i})$, $\varpi \in \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}^\vee$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$. With the choice (98) of Γ the only non-trivial Chan-Paton spaces are N_{12} and N_{34}. The choice of the Chan-Paton representation ρ_{CP} in this case amounts to the choice of multiplicity spaces $N_{12,\omega}, N_{34,\omega}$, i.e. the dimension vectors

$$n_\omega = \mathsf{dim} N_{12,\omega}, \qquad w_\omega = \mathsf{dim} N_{34,\omega}, \tag{102}$$

The centralizer

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = U(1)_\gamma^2 \times \bigtimes_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} U(n_\omega) \times U(w_\omega) \tag{103}$$

where $U(1)_\gamma^2 \subset U(1)_\varepsilon^3$ consists of the diagonal matrices of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1}\vartheta_1} & & & \\ & e^{\sqrt{-1}\vartheta_2} & & \\ & & e^{-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}(\vartheta_1+\vartheta_2)} \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 & \end{pmatrix} \in SU(4), \tag{104}$$

(3) The **ALE** × **ALE** case:

$$\mathbf{\Gamma} = \Gamma_{\gamma'} \times \Gamma_{\gamma''} \times \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}} \tag{105}$$

represented in $SU(4)$ with the help of the homomorphism

$$\rho_{\mathsf{geom}}[h' \times h'' \times t] = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(t) \cdot T_2(h') & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(t)^{-1} \cdot T_2(h'') \end{pmatrix} \in SU(4),$$

with $h' \in \Gamma_{\gamma'}$, $h'' \in \Gamma_{\gamma''}$, $\rho \in \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}{}^\vee$. The irreducible representations of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ are the tensor products $R'_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes R''_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\varpi$ labelled by $\omega = (\mathbf{i}, \tilde{\mathbf{i}}, \varpi)$, where $\varpi \in \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}{}^\vee$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_{\gamma'}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathsf{Vert}_{\gamma''}$. Again, with the choice (105) of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ the only non-trivial Chan-Paton spaces are N_{12} and N_{34}. The choice of the Chan-Paton representation ρ_{CP} in this case amounts to the choice of multiplicity spaces $N_{12,\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega}, N_{34,\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega}$, i.e. the dimension vectors

$$n_\omega = \mathsf{dim} N_{12,\omega}, \qquad w_\omega = \mathsf{dim} N_{34,\omega}, \tag{106}$$

for $\omega = \left(\mathbf{i}, \tilde{\mathbf{i}}, \varpi\right) \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee$. The centralizer

$$\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}} = U(1)_{\gamma',\gamma''} \times \bigtimes_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} U(n_\omega) \times U(w_\omega) \tag{107}$$

where $U(1)_{\gamma',\gamma''} \subset U(1)^3_\varepsilon$ consists of diagonal matrices of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1}\vartheta} \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 & \\ & e^{-\sqrt{-1}\vartheta} \cdot \mathbf{1}_2 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(4), \tag{108}$$

In what follows the expressions N_A, K_A etc. are promoted to $\mathcal{N}_A$, $\mathcal{K}_A$ etc. which are valued in $K[T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}}] \otimes K[\mathbf{\Gamma}]$, i.e. they are the formal linear combinaions:

$$\sum_{w \in T^\vee_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}},\ \omega \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} n_{w,\omega}\, L_w \otimes \mathcal{R}_\omega \tag{109}$$

where L_w are the characters of $T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}}$, and $\mathcal{R}_\omega$ are the irreducible representations of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$.

The virtual tangent space character T_A is promoted to $\mathcal{T}_A$. The definition of the partition function in the orbifold situation is the following. The random variable in the orbifold model is a variety $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$ which is parametrized by a string $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of objects.

In the **abelian** case all the varieties are points, while the objects are again the Young diagrams (partitions) $\lambda^{(A,\varpi,\alpha)}$ labeled in turn by $A \in \underline{\mathbf{6}}$, $\varpi \in \Gamma^\vee_{\mathsf{ab}}$,$\alpha \in [n_{A,\varpi}]$.

In the **abelian** $\times$ **ALE** case these varieties are the products of the Nakajima-Young varieties $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\beta})$ while the labelling objects are $\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\beta}$, with $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$, $\beta \in [w_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}]$, in addition to the usual Young diagrams $\lambda_{\omega,\varpi,\alpha}$ labeled by $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma$, $\varpi \in {\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee$, $\alpha \in [n_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}]$. Finally, in the **ALE** $\times$ **ALE** case these are the products $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}, \lambda_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\alpha}) \times \mathbf{Y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}_\gamma(\underline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}, \lambda_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\varpi,\beta})$ with $\alpha \in [n_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\varpi}]$, $\beta \in [w_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\varpi}]$, $\mathbf{i} \in \mathsf{Vert}_{\gamma'}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathsf{Vert}_{\gamma''}$, $\varpi \in {\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee$.

One takes the $\mathbf{\Gamma}$-invariant part of $\mathcal{T}_A$, i.e.

$$[\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}]\mathcal{T}_A = \sum_{i \in I_+} e^{\beta w_{+,i}} \mathsf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}_{+,i}) - \sum_{i \in I_-} e^{\beta w_{-,i}} \mathsf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}_{-,i}) \tag{110}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\pm,i}$ are vector bundles. One then defines:

$$z^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}} \frac{\prod_{i \in I_-} \mathbf{c}_{w_{-,i}}(\mathcal{E}_{-,i})}{\prod_{i \in I_+} \mathbf{c}_{w_{+,i}}(\mathcal{E}_{+,i})} \tag{111}$$

We first describe the case of abelian orbifolds, and then proceed with the somewhat more restricted case of the non-abelian orbifolds. In the latter case our formulas are less explicit.

4.1. **Abelian orbifolds.** We define the statistical model, which is parametrized by the following generalization of the data of the section **2**:

(1) The string $\bar{\varepsilon}$ of 4 complex numbers ε_a, $a \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}$ which sum up to zero, as in (39)
(2) The string $\rho_{\mathsf{geom}} = (\rho_a)_{a \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}}$ of 4 irreducible Γ_{ab}-representations $\rho_a \in {\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee$ obeying

$$\sum_{a \in \underline{\mathbf{4}}} \rho_a = 0 \in {\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee \tag{112}$$

In other words, ρ is a homomorphism $\Gamma_{\rm ab} \to U(1)^3_\varepsilon \subset SU(4)$, so that

$$\Box_{SU(4)} = \bigoplus_{a\in\underline{\mathbf{4}}} \mathcal{L}_{\rho_a} \tag{113}$$

We shall also use the notation ρ_S with $S \subset \underline{\mathbf{4}}$ for the sum:

$$\rho_S = \sum_{s\in S} \rho_s \ . \tag{114}$$

so that $\rho_\emptyset = \rho_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}} = \rho_0$ and

$$\wedge^\bullet \Box_{SU(4)} = \bigoplus_{S\subset\underline{\mathbf{4}}} \mathcal{L}_{\rho_S} \tag{115}$$

(3) The string $\bar{n}$ of 6 $\Gamma_{\rm ab}$-representations

$$N_A = \bigoplus_{\omega\in{\Gamma_{\rm ab}}^\vee} N_{A,\omega}\otimes\mathcal{L}_\omega, \qquad A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}} \tag{116}$$

with the multiplicity spaces $N_{A,\omega}$ of dimensions $n_{A,\omega} = \mathbf{dim} N_{A,\omega}$.

(4) The string $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ of

$$\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}},\omega\in{\Gamma_{\rm ab}}^\vee} n_{A,\omega} \tag{117}$$

complex numbers $\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}$, $\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}]$.

The data $\left((\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha})_{\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}],\omega\in{\Gamma_{\rm ab}}^\vee}; (\varepsilon_a)_{a\in\underline{\mathbf{4}}}\right)$ parametrize the Cartan subalgebra of the centralizer $\mathsf{H}_{\Gamma_{\rm ab}}$. Define, for $A=(ab)\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}$, $a,b\in\underline{\mathbf{4}}$, $a<b$:

$$\mathcal{N}_A = \sum_{\omega\in{\Gamma_{\rm ab}}^\vee}\sum_{\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}]} e^{\beta\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}}\mathcal{L}_\omega \tag{118}$$

(5) The string $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ of $|\Gamma_{\rm ab}| = \prod_\kappa p_\kappa^{l_\kappa}$ fugacities

$$\mathfrak{q}_\omega, \quad \omega\in{\Gamma_{\rm ab}}^\vee \tag{119}$$

obeying $|\mathfrak{q}_\omega|<1$.

Define, for $S\subset\underline{\mathbf{4}}$, the notations:

$$P_{S,\omega}(\beta) = \sum_{J\subset S}\prod_{j\in J}\left(-e^{\beta\varepsilon_j}\right)\delta_{\Gamma^\vee}\left(-\omega+\sum_{j\in J}\rho_j\right), \tag{120}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_S = \sum_{\omega\in\Gamma^\vee} P_{S,\omega}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\rho_S}$$

4.1.1. *The abelian orbifold model configuration space.* Our local orbifold model is the statistical ensemble of a string $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ of

$$\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}}\sum_{\omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee} n_{A,\omega}$$

partitions $\lambda^{(A,\omega,\alpha)}\in\Lambda$, $\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}]$. Define, for $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, $A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}$, $A=(ab)$ as before:

$$\mathcal{K}_A=\sum_{\omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee}\sum_{\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}]}\sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda^{(A,\omega,\alpha)}} e^{\beta\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}+\varepsilon_a(i-1)+\varepsilon_b(j-1)\right)}\mathcal{L}_{\omega+\rho_a(i-1)+\rho_b(j-1)}\cdot \tag{121}$$

4.1.2. *The orbifold model statistical weights.* Define, for $A=\{a,b\}$, $a<b\in\underline{\mathbf{4}}$, $\omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee$

$$N_{A,\omega}(\beta)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{A,\omega}} e^{\beta\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}}\ , \tag{122}$$

$$K_{A,\omega}(\beta)=\sum_{\tilde\omega\in\Gamma^\vee}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{A,\tilde\omega}}\sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda^{(A,\tilde\omega,\alpha)}}\delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}\left(\tilde\omega+\rho_a(i-1)+\rho_b(j-1)-\omega\right)e^{\beta c_{A,\tilde\omega,\alpha}(\square)},$$

where

$$c_{A,\omega,\alpha}(\square)=\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}+\varepsilon_a(i-1)+\varepsilon_b(j-1) \tag{123}$$

and

$$K_\omega(\beta)=\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}}K_{A,\omega}(\beta),\qquad k_\omega=K_\omega(0) \tag{124}$$

Define (cf. (120), (58))

$$\mathcal{T}_A=\sum_{\omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee}T_{A,\omega}\otimes\mathcal{L}_\omega\ , \tag{125}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_A=\mathcal{N}_A\mathcal{K}_A^*+q_A\mathcal{K}_A\mathcal{N}_A^*\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\rho_A}-\mathcal{K}_A\mathcal{K}_A^*\mathcal{P}_A\ ,$$

$$T_{A,\omega}=T^+_{A,\omega}+q_A\left(T^-_{A,\rho_A-\omega}\right)^*$$

The statistical weight of $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ is given by the following expression:

$$z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}=\left(\prod_{\omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee}\mathfrak{q}_\omega^{k_\omega}\right)\epsilon\left[-\tilde T_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}\right] \tag{126}$$

where

(127)

$$\tilde T_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}=\sum_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}},\,\omega\in\Gamma^\vee}P_{\bar A,\omega}\left(T^+_{A,-\omega}+\sum_{\omega'\in\Gamma^\vee}N_{A,\omega'}\sum_{B\neq A}K^*_{B,\omega+\omega'}\right)-\sum_{\omega,\omega'\in\Gamma^\vee}P_{\underline{\mathbf{4}},\omega'-\omega}\sum_{A<B}K_{A,\omega}K^*_{B,\omega'},$$

and the full abelian orbifold partition function is defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{\mathrm{spiked}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}},\bar\epsilon;\tilde{\mathfrak{q}})=Z^{\mathrm{pert},\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}},\rho,\bar\epsilon)\sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}} \tag{128}$$

where the prefactor is given by the following formulas:

4.1.3. *The abelian orbifold gauge origami perturbative factors*. Define:

$$Z^{\mathrm{pert},\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}},\rho,\bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}}} Z^{\mathrm{pert},A,\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{N=2*}(\mathfrak{a}_A,\rho_a,\rho_b,\bar{\varepsilon}) \times \prod_{\{a,b,c\}\subset\underline{\mathbf{4}}} Z^{\mathrm{pert},a|bc,\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{\mathrm{fold}}(\mathfrak{a}_{ab},\mathfrak{a}_{ac},\rho_a,\rho_b,\rho_c,\bar{\varepsilon}) \times \prod_{A\in\underline{\mathbf{6}},A<\bar{A}} Z^{\mathrm{pert},A,\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{\mathrm{cross}}(\mathfrak{a}_A,\mathfrak{a}_{\bar{A}},\rho,\bar{\varepsilon}) \tag{129}$$

where $(A = ab)$:

$$Z^{\mathrm{pert},A,\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{N=2*}(\mathfrak{a}_A,\rho,\bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{\omega,\omega'\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee} \prod_{\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}],\beta\in[n_{A,\omega'}]} \frac{\Gamma_2^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}};\rho_a,\rho_b}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}-\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega',\beta};\varepsilon_a,\varepsilon_b\right)_{\omega-\omega'}}{\Gamma_2^{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}};\rho_a,\rho_b}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}-\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega',\beta}+\varepsilon_{\bar{a}};\varepsilon_a,\varepsilon_b\right)_{\omega-\omega'+\rho_{\bar{a}}}} \tag{130}$$

where $\bar{a}\in\bar{A}$ is chosen arbitrarily, and the projected double gamma

$$\Gamma_2^{\Gamma;\rho',\rho''}(x;y',y'')_\omega \sim \prod_{i,j\geq 1}(x+y'(i-1)+y''(j-1))^{\delta_{\Gamma^\vee}(\omega+\rho'(i-1)+\rho''(j-1))} \tag{131}$$

can be easily expressed in terms of the ordinary Γ_2's,

•

$$Z^{\mathrm{pert},a|bc,\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{\mathrm{fold}}(\mathfrak{a}_{ab},\mathfrak{a}_{ac},\rho,\bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{\omega,\omega'\in\Gamma^\vee} \prod_{\alpha\in[n_{ab,\omega}]} \prod_{\beta\in[n_{ac,\omega'}]} \Gamma_1^{\Gamma,\rho_a}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{ab,\omega,\alpha}-\mathfrak{a}_{ac,\omega',\beta}+\varepsilon_a+\varepsilon_c;\varepsilon_a\right)_{\omega-\omega'+\rho_a+\rho_c} \tag{132}$$

where

$$\Gamma_1^{\Gamma,\rho}(x;y)_\omega \sim \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(x+y(i-1))^{\delta_{\Gamma^\vee}(\omega+\rho(i-1))} \tag{133}$$

can be easily expressed in terms of the ordinary gamma-functions,

•

$$Z^{\mathrm{pert},A,\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}}_{\mathrm{cross}}(\mathfrak{a}_A,\mathfrak{a}_{\bar{A}},\rho,\bar{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{\omega,\omega'\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee} \prod_{\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}]} \prod_{\beta\in[n_{B,\omega'}]} \left(\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega,\alpha}-\mathfrak{a}_{\bar{A},\omega',\beta}+\varepsilon_{\bar{A}}\right)^{\delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}(\omega-\omega'-\rho_A)} \tag{134}$$

4.2. **The abelian × ALE case.** Fix Γ_{ab}, γ of D or E type. Fix the discrete data: the dimension vectors $\underline{\mathbf{n}} = (n_\omega)_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}$, $\underline{\mathbf{w}} = (w_\omega)_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}$, two characters $\rho_1,\rho_2 : \Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}} \to U(1)$. The orbifold gauge origami in this case depends on the following continuous data:

(1) Two complex numbers $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2$. We use the notation $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2$.

(2) Two sets of Coulomb parameters:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \alpha \in [n_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}], \qquad \mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \tilde{\alpha} \in [w_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}] \tag{135}$$

(3) The set of $|\mathbf{\Gamma}|$ fugacities:

$$\mathfrak{q}_\omega \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \omega = (\mathbf{i}, \varpi) \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee \tag{136}$$

The geometric action $\mathbf{\Gamma} \to SU(4)$ defines the following three representations:

$$\mathbb{C}^1_1 \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\rho_1}, \quad \mathbb{C}^1_2 \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\rho_2}, \qquad \mathbb{C}^2_{34} = \mathcal{L}^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{\rho_1+\rho_2} \otimes \mathbf{2} \tag{137}$$

(the existence of the square root is irrelevant for γ of D or E type), which obey

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2} \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^2_{34} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}, \tag{138}$$

the trivial representation. Write:

$$\mathcal{P}_{34} = 1 - e^{-\beta\varepsilon/2}\mathbb{C}^2_{34} + e^{-\beta\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}_{-\rho_1-\rho_2}\ , \tag{139}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{12} = 1 - e^{\beta\varepsilon_1}\mathbb{C}^1_1 - e^{\beta\varepsilon_2}\mathbb{C}^1_2 + e^{\beta\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2}\ ,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}} = \mathcal{P}_{12}\mathcal{P}_{34}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{12} = \sum_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} \sum_{\alpha\in[n_\omega]} e^{\beta\mathfrak{a}_{\omega,\alpha}}\ \mathcal{R}_\omega\,,\ \mathcal{N}_{34} = \sum_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}\in[w_\omega]} e^{\beta\mathfrak{b}_{\omega,\tilde{\alpha}}}\ \mathcal{R}_\omega \tag{140}$$

- The random variables in the $\mathbf{\Gamma}$-orbifold gauge origami model are:
  (1) the string $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (\lambda^{(\omega,\alpha)})_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\alpha\in[n_\omega]}$ of Young diagrams,
  (2) the string of vectors $\underline{\mathbf{v}} = \left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\omega,\tilde{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma}\right)_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\tilde{\alpha}\in[w_\omega]}$ of dimensions
  (3) the string $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (\mu_{\omega,\tilde{\alpha}})_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\tilde{\alpha}\in[w_\omega]}$ of Nakajima-Young varieties

$$\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}}; \mu_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}}\right)\ , \qquad \mu_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}} \in \Lambda^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}}) \tag{141}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{K}_{12} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma,\varpi\in{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee} \sum_{\alpha\in[n_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}]} \sum_{(i,j)\in\lambda^{(\mathbf{i},\varpi,\alpha)}} e^{\beta\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\alpha}+\varepsilon_1(i-1)+\varepsilon_2(j-1)\right)} R_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\varpi+\rho_1(i-1)+\rho_2(j-1)} \tag{142}$$

and, finally:

$$\mathcal{K}_{34} = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\in\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} \sum_{\varpi\in{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}\in[w_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}]} \sum_{n\geq 0} e^{\beta\left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{2}n\varepsilon\right)} \mathrm{Ch}(V^{\mathbf{i}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}},n})\, R_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\varpi-\frac{1}{2}n(\rho_1+\rho_2)} \tag{143}$$

a $K[T_{\mathsf{H}_\mathbf{\Gamma}}]\otimes K(\mathbf{\Gamma})$-valued linear combination of Chern characters of vector bundles over

$$\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} = \bigtimes_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} \bigtimes_{\varpi\in{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee} \bigtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}\in[w_{\mathbf{i},\varpi}]} \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_\gamma\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}}; \mu_{\mathbf{i},\varpi,\tilde{\alpha}}\right) \tag{144}$$

The measure (126) dressed with a partial perturbative contribution is generalized to

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{pert}}_{\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathrm{cross}} z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} = \left(\prod_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} \mathfrak{q}^{k_\omega}\right) \int_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}} \epsilon\left[-[\mathcal{R}_0]\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} + [L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_0]\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}\right], \tag{145}$$

where

(146)

$$\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}(\beta) = -q_{12}\mathcal{N}_{12}^*\mathcal{N}_{34}\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2} + \mathcal{P}_{34}\left(\mathcal{T}_{12}^+ + \mathcal{N}_{12}\mathcal{K}_{34}^*\right) + \mathcal{P}_{12}\left(\mathcal{T}_{34}^+ + \mathcal{N}_{34}\mathcal{K}_{12}^*\right) - \mathcal{P}_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}}\mathcal{K}_{12}\mathcal{K}_{34}^*,$$

$$[L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}]\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} = [L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}]\mathcal{P}_{12}\mathcal{T}_{34}^+ =$$

$$[L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}]\left(1 + q_{12}\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2}\right)\mathcal{T}_{34}^+ = [L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}]\left(\mathcal{N}_{34}\mathcal{K}_{34}^* + \mathcal{N}_{34}^*\mathcal{K}_{34}q^{-1}\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2}^{-1} - \mathcal{P}_{34}\mathcal{K}_{34}\mathcal{K}_{34}^*\right) = T_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}}$$

and $[\mathcal{R}_0]\mathcal{T}$ denotes taking the $\mathbf{\Gamma}$-invariant part in $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. the contribution of the trivial representation of $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, while $[L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_0]\mathcal{T}$ denotes the $T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}$-invariant part. Geometrically, the $T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}} \times \mathbf{\Gamma}$-invariant of the cohomology of the complex

(147)

$$[L_{\mathbf{0}}R_{\mathbf{0}}]\left[\mathcal{K}_{34} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{K}_{34},\mathcal{N}_{34}) \oplus q^{-1}\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}_{34},\mathcal{K}_{34}) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2}^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{34}^2 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{34} \to q^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{34} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\rho_1+\rho_2}^{-1}\right]$$

it is the tangent space to the variety $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$ so its contribution is subtracted from the measure as the rest is being integrated over $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$ (note that $L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}} = L_{\mathbf{0}}R_{\mathbf{0}}$ as Γ_{ab} action is contained in $T_{\mathsf{H}_{\mathbf{\Gamma}}}$). Finally,

$$k_{\mathbf{i},\varpi} = \sum_{\varpi' \in \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}^\vee} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in [n_{\mathbf{i},\varpi'}]} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{\Lambda}^{(\mathbf{i},\varpi',\alpha)}} \delta_{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}^\vee}(\varpi' + \rho_1(i-1) + \rho_2(j-1) - \varpi) + \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{\mathbf{i}' \in \mathsf{Vert}_\gamma} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} \in [w_{\mathbf{i}',\varpi'}]} \sum_{n \geq 0} \delta_{\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}^\vee}\left(\varpi' - \frac{1}{2}n(\rho_1+\rho_2) - \varpi\right) \nu_{\mathbf{i},n}^{\mathbf{i}'}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i}',\varpi',\tilde{\alpha}}; \mu_{\mathbf{i}',\varpi',\tilde{\alpha}}) \right) \tag{148}$$

Of course, this formalism also applies to $\gamma = \widehat{A}_k$. In this case the formulas (148), (157) reduce to the $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_4$ limit of the **abelian** orbifold case of crossed instantons [12].

4.3. **The ALE × ALE case.** Fix Γ_{ab}, and two quivers $\gamma', \gamma''$of D or E type.

Fix the discrete data: the dimension vectors $\underline{\mathbf{n}} = (n_\omega)_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}$, $\underline{\mathbf{w}} = (w_\omega)_{\omega \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}$, one character $c_r : \Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}} \to U(1)$, equivalently a representation $\mathcal{L}_r \in {\Gamma_{\mathsf{ab}}}^\vee$. The orbifold gauge origami in this case depends on the following continuous data:

(1) A complex number $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) Two sets of Coulomb parameters:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\omega,\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \alpha \in [n_\omega], \qquad \mathfrak{b}_{\omega,\tilde{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \tilde{\alpha} \in [w_\omega] \tag{149}$$

(3) The set of $|\mathbf{\Gamma}|$ fugacities:

$$\mathfrak{q}_\omega \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \omega = (\mathbf{i}, \tilde{\mathbf{i}}, \varpi) \in \mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee \tag{150}$$

The geometric action $\mathbf{\Gamma} \to SU(4)$ defines the following two representations:

$$\mathbb{C}_{12}^2 \equiv \mathcal{L}_\rho \otimes \mathbf{2}', \qquad \mathbb{C}_{34}^2 = \mathcal{L}_{-\rho} \otimes \mathbf{2}'' \tag{151}$$

Define:

$$\mathcal{P}_{12} = 1 - e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta\varepsilon}\mathbb{C}_{12}^2 + e^{\beta\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}_{2\rho}\ , \qquad \mathcal{P}_{34} = 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\beta\varepsilon}\mathbb{C}_{34}^2 + e^{-\beta\varepsilon}\mathcal{L}_{-2\rho} \tag{152}$$

• The random variables in the $\mathbf{\Gamma}$-orbifold gauge origami model are:

(1) two strings $\underline{\mathbf{v}}' = \left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}'_{\omega,\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma'}}\right)_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\alpha\in[n_\omega]}$, $\underline{\mathbf{v}}'' = (\underline{\mathbf{v}}''_{\omega,\tilde\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma''}})_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\tilde\alpha\in[w_\omega]}$ of dimension vectors

(2) two strings $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}' = (\mu'_{\omega,\alpha})_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\alpha\in[n_\omega]}$, $\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}'' = (\mu''_{\omega,\tilde\alpha})_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee,\tilde\alpha\in[w_\omega]}$ of Nakajima-Young varieties $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\gamma'}\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\alpha};\mu'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\alpha}\right)$, $\mathbf{Y}^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}_{\gamma''}\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha};\mu''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha}\right)$,

$$\mu'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha} \in \Lambda^{\mathbf{i}}_{\gamma'}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha}), \qquad \mu''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha} \in \Lambda^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}_{\gamma''}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha}) \tag{153}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{K}_{12} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i}'\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma'}\\ \tilde{\mathbf{i}}\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma''}\\ \omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee}} \sum_{\substack{n\geq 0\\ \alpha\in[n_{\mathbf{i}',\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega}]}} e^{\beta\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{i}',\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\alpha}+\varepsilon n\right)}\mathrm{Ch}\left(V^{\mathbf{i}'}_{\mathbf{i},n}\right)R'_{\mathbf{i}}\otimes R''_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\omega+n\rho} \tag{154}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{34} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{i}\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma'}\\ \tilde{\mathbf{i}},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}''\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma''}\\ \omega\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}{}^\vee}} \sum_{\substack{n\geq 0\\ \tilde\alpha\in[w_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}'',\omega}]}} e^{\beta\left(\mathfrak{b}_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega,\tilde\alpha}-\varepsilon n\right)}\mathrm{Ch}\left(\tilde V^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\tilde{\mathbf{i}}''}_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}},n}\right)R'_{\mathbf{i}}\otimes R''_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}\otimes\mathcal{L}_{\omega-n\rho} \tag{155}$$

a $K[T_{\mathsf{H}_\Gamma}]\otimes K(\mathbf{\Gamma})$-valued linear combination of vector bundles over

$$\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''} = \bigtimes_{\omega=(\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega)\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}\ \bigtimes_{\alpha\in[n_\omega]}\ \bigtimes_{\tilde\alpha\in[w_\omega]} \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\gamma'}\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\alpha};\mu'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\alpha}\right)\times\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\gamma''}\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\tilde\alpha};\mu''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\tilde\alpha}\right) \tag{156}$$

where the vector bundles over $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}$ denoted with some abuse of notation by $V^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{i}',n}$, $\tilde V^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}'',n}$ are the pullbacks of the bundles $V^{\mathbf{i}}_{\mathbf{i}',n}\left(\gamma';\underline{\mathbf{v}}'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\alpha},\mu'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\alpha}\right)\to\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\gamma'}\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\alpha};\mu'_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\alpha}\right)$, $V^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}'',n}\left(\gamma'';\underline{\mathbf{v}}''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\tilde\alpha},\mu''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\tilde\alpha}\right)\to\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{i}}_{\gamma''}\left(\underline{\mathbf{v}}''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\tilde\alpha};\mu''_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}\omega,\tilde\alpha}\right)$ under the projections to the respective factors.

The measure (126) dressed with a partial perturbative contribution is generalized to

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{pert}}_{\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathrm{cross}}\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''} = \left(\prod_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}\mathfrak{q}^{k_\omega}\right)\int_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}}\epsilon\left[-[\mathcal{R}_0]\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}+[L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_0]\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}\right], \tag{157}$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}(\beta) = -e^{2\beta\varepsilon}\mathcal{N}^*_{12}\mathcal{N}_{34}+\mathcal{P}_{34}\left(\mathcal{T}^+_{12}+\mathcal{N}_{12}\mathcal{K}^*_{34}\right)+\mathcal{P}_{12}\left(\mathcal{T}^+_{34}+\mathcal{N}_{34}\mathcal{K}^*_{12}\right)-\mathcal{P}_{\underline{\mathbf{4}}}\mathcal{K}_{12}\mathcal{K}^*_{34}, \tag{158}$$

$$[L_{\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{0}}]\mathcal{T}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''} = T_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}}$$

and

$$k_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\omega} = \sum_{\widehat{\omega}\in\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}\sum_{n\geq 0}\Bigg(\sum_{\mathbf{i}'\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma'}}\sum_{\alpha\in[n_{\mathbf{i}',\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\widehat{\omega}}]}\delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}(\widehat{\omega}+n\rho-\omega)\,\nu_{\mathbf{i},n}^{\mathbf{i}'}(\gamma';\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i}',\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\widehat{\omega},\alpha},\mu_{\mathbf{i}',\tilde{\mathbf{i}},\widehat{\omega},\alpha})+ \tag{159}$$

$$+\sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}''\in\mathrm{Vert}_{\gamma''}}\sum_{\tilde{\alpha}\in[w_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}'',\widehat{\omega}}]}\delta_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{ab}}^\vee}(\widehat{\omega}-n\rho-\omega)\,\nu_{\tilde{\mathbf{i}},n}^{\tilde{\mathbf{i}}''}(\gamma'';\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}'',\widehat{\omega},\tilde{\alpha}};\mu_{\mathbf{i},\tilde{\mathbf{i}}'',\widehat{\omega},\tilde{\alpha}})\Bigg)$$

4.4. **The main fact.** For all $\mathbf{\Gamma}$, let us denote by

$$x_A = \frac{1}{\sum_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee} n_{A,\omega}}\sum_{\omega\in\mathbf{\Gamma}^\vee}\sum_{\alpha\in[n_{A,\omega}]}\mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega} \tag{160}$$

The partition function of the orbifold gauge origami, defined by (128) in the **abelian** case, by

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathrm{cross}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}},\mathfrak{b};\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2) = \sum_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{pert}}_{\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathrm{cross}}z_{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\underline{\mathbf{v}},\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} \tag{161}$$

in the **ALE× abelian** case,

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\mathrm{cross}}(\underline{\mathfrak{a}},\mathfrak{b};\varepsilon) = \sum_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''}\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{pert}}_{\mathbf{\Gamma},\mathrm{cross}}z_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}',\underline{\mathbf{v}}'',\underline{\boldsymbol{\mu}}''} \tag{162}$$

in the **ALE×ALE** case, has no singularities in the x_A variables, with $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_{A,\omega} = \mathfrak{a}_{A,\omega} - x_A$ fixed. Again, this follows from the compactness theorem proven in [12].

5. Conclusions and outlook

The partition function of the gauge origami model, can be viewed as the expectation value in the $\mathcal{N}=2^*$ $U(n_A)$ theory on $\mathbb{C}^2_A$ of an operator. In the crossed case, $N_AN_B=0$, $A\cap B\neq\emptyset$, this operator is the qq-character of the $\widehat{A}_0$-type [13]. In the orbifolded crossed case this operator is the qq-character of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$-type. The regularity of these expectation values will be used in the forthcoming publications to derive the KZ and BPZ equations [2, 6] on the partition functions of supersymmetric gauge theories with and without surface operators.

References

[1] Alday, L. F., Gaiotto, D., and Tachikawa, Y. Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-dimensional Gauge Theories. Lett. Math. Phys. 91 (2010), 167–197.

[2] Belavin, A. A., Polyakov, A. M., and Zamolodchikov, A. B. Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. Nucl. Phys. B241 (1984), 333–380.

[3] Douglas, M. R., and Moore, G. W. D-branes, quivers, and ALE instantons. hep-th/9603167 (1996).

[4] Iarrobino, A., and Yameogo, J. The family G_T of graded quotients of $k[x,y]$ of given Hilbert function. arXiv:alg-geom/9709021 (Sept. 1997).

[5] Iarrobino, Anthony A. Punctual Hilbert schemes, vol. 188. American Mathematical Soc., 1977.

[6] Knizhnik, V.G. and Zamolodchikov, A.B. Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions. Nucl. Phys. B247, 1 (1984), 83–103.
[7] Loginov, K. Hilbert-Samuel sequences of homogeneous finite type. ArXiv/1410.5654 (Oct. 2014).
[8] Nakajima, H. Gauge theory on resolutions of simple singularities and simple Lie algebras. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 2 (1994), 61–74.
[9] Nakajima, H. Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras. Duke Math. J. 76, 2 (1994), 365–416.
[10] Nakajima, H. Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras. Duke Math. J. 91, 3 (1998), 515–560.
[11] Nakajima, H., and Yoshioka, K. Lectures on instanton counting. CRM Workshop on Algebraic Structures and Moduli Spaces, arXiv:math/0311058 (Montreal, 2003).
[12] Nekrasov, N. BPS/CFT correspondence II: Instantons at crossroads, Moduli and Compactness Theorem. Proceedings of String-Math'2015, to appear (2016).
[13] Nekrasov, N. BPS/CFT correspondence: non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations and qq-characters. JHEP 03 (2016), 181.
[14] Nekrasov, N. seminars at the Institute for Information Transmission Problems. http://www.mathnet.ru/php/person.phtml?personid=21365&option_lang=eng (Moscow, 2013-2016).
[15] Nekrasov, N. seminars at the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics. http://scgp.stonybrook.edu/video_portal/results.php?profile_id=356 (Stony Brook, 2013-2016).
[16] Nekrasov, N. A. Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting. Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 7 (2004), 831–864.

Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY 11794-3636, USA, Kharkevich Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Moscow 127051 Russia, E-mail: nikitastring@gmail.com[1]

[1]on leave of absence from: IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, France
ITEP, Moscow, Russia